Bulgarian Academy of Sciences. Space Research and Technology Institute. Aerospace Research in Bulgaria. 36, 2024, Sofia

<u>Reviews</u>

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3897/arb.v36.e18

REVIEW OF THE APPLICATIONS OF SATELLITE REMOTE SENSING IN ORGANIC FARMING – PART II

Milen Chanev, Lachezar Filchev

Space Research and Technology Institute – Bulgarian Academy of Sciences e-mail: mchanev@space.bas.bg, lachezarhf@space.bas.bg

Keywords: Remote Sensing, Satellite data, Precision farming, Organic farming

Abstract

The use of remote sensing methods for monitoring, managing, and decision support in agriculture is increasingly intensifying. With the advancement of technologies, they become more accessible, while the quality and security of the obtained data are improving. To improve the quality of the environment and its preservation, expanding the areas occupied by organic farming will allow us to achieve these goals. At the same time, this type of agriculture provides healthy and safe food. For this reason, it is essential to start applying satellite data in organic farming as quickly as possible. In Part II of the "Review of the applications of satellite remote sensing in organic farming," we examine the various areas of satellite data application in organic farming. Five areas of satellite data application in organic farming have been identified, including satellite remote sensing monitoring of weeds, remote sensing monitoring of plant nutrition. From the conducted review, we found that satellite data can significantly support and facilitate the transition to organic farming, adequate fertilization, application in phytosanitary monitoring of crops, and assessment of crop stress.

Introduction

In the first part of the "Review of the applications of satellite remote sensing in organic farming," published in issue 35, we examined the main applications of remote methods, the use of satellite data, and the potential of uncrewed aerial vehicles (UAVs) platforms in agriculture, and their possible application in organic farming. We analyzed publications on the topic published in specialized databases. Our analysis revealed that the top 25 most cited publications, indexed in CrossRef and parsed by the Scite_ platform (https://scite.ai accessed on 06.02.2024), were published by Elsevier, followed by AAAS, Wiley, and MDPI. Further analysis of the literature on the issue showed that the most cited publications are from 2010, 2011, and 2013, indicating that the interest in satellite data applications in organic farming is relatively recent, dating back approximately 14 years. We also analyzed using the open web tool WordItOut (https://worditout.com accessed on 06.02.2024). The word cloud mapped 141 words out of 301 unique words. Other commonly occurring words include "image," "plant," "vision," "resolution," "spectral," "surface," and "grain," which more or less reveal the main objectives of the studies in question.

Materials and methods

This study provides an overview and a comprehensive analysis of articles, reports, and materials published online in the scientific databases Scopus, ResearchGate, and Google Scholar. A combination of keywords with logical queries was used when searching the scientific and specialized database from the beginning of space remote sensing from the late 1980s to 2021. The main keywords that we used are: "organic farming" AND "remote sensing", "organic farming" AND "satellite data". The results obtained were categorized into five application categories of satellite data in organic farming. The separated application categories are satellite remote sensing monitoring of weeds, remote sensing of crop stress and the need for irrigation, forecasting yields using remote sensing methods, and remote sensing monitoring of plant nutrition.

Results and discussion

Satellite remote sensing monitoring of weeds

Weed control on organic farms is one of the main problems facing organic farming. Remote sensing monitoring systems can help overcome the problems caused by weeds. This can be achieved by integrating drones carrying different sensors and satellite images that include hyperspectral, multispectral, and RGB in combination with artificial intelligence, guaranteeing a better result in weed management [1].

Information on the distribution of weeds in the field is necessary to compile an assessment map of crops to determine the achievement of their biological threshold of harmfulness. Perez et al. (2000) [2] propose two approaches to automatic weed monitoring:

- Rough identification of weeds in the observed areas by remote sensing.

- Fine identification using proximal methods, such as video imaging and image analysis, should confirm the location and allow the most appropriate local treatment of the crop to be selected.

A review of the potential of remote sensing techniques for crop protection suggests that one way to differentiate between weeds and crops is by studying temporal patterns of plant indices during the growing season [2, 3]. In addition, using remote sensing methods, only a few species of weeds in different phenophases can

usually be distinguished. The spectral characteristics of weeds should be taken from populations in groups of weeds identified shortly before the detection process, as characteristics are highly variable and depend on the phenophase of weeds or weed associations [2, 4]. Two approaches are usually used for automatic weed monitoring. One is to establish geometric differences in leaf litter between crops and weeds [5–10]. The second approach is based on differences in spectral reflectivity. It is possible to look for differences in the location of the crop and the weeds in the crop [2, 11]. Guyer [5] explored the possibility of using leaf shape for plant identification. The team led by Franz [12] used local spectral characteristics of plant leaves to distinguish different weeds. Zhang and Chaisattapagon (1995) [13] use machine vision to identify weeds in wheat fields. They apply three approaches to distinguish them from cultivated plants: color analysis, shape analysis, and texture analysis. In the laboratory, they use black-and-white digital images with various color filters. They found that red and green filters effectively detect reddish stems in some weeds. Leaf-blade parameters are adequate for distinguishing deciduous weeds from cereal leaves. Another way to differentiate young crops and weeds is by analyzing the spectral reflectivity using specific wavelengths ranging from 200 to 2000 nm [14, 15]. We can say that the opportunities offered by RS are significant for weed management in organic farms, as the use of herbicides is prohibited there.

Remote sensing of crops' stress and the need for irrigation

The RS provides an excellent opportunity to assess stress, and these methods are also used to calculate different vegetation indices that estimate different crop parameters [16-18]. Remote sensing methods in agriculture can be a powerful technique for visualizing, diagnosing, and quantifying the crop response to stress caused by abiotic, biotic factors or improper management decisions. In most cases, stress leads to deviations in plants' pigmentation, which can be used to diagnose stress in crops using RS methods [19, 20]. The main problem facing conventional and organic farming is the quantification of crop water consumption and the water stress they experience. Using satellite-based images and the computational processing of satellite images is an opportunity to manage water stress on crops in organic farming [21, 22]. Sharma [23] proposed that the irrigation problem in organic farms be managed using RS and data processing using a Support Vector Machine (SVM). Data is stored in computer software sent to SVM to determine the status of crops on organic farms. The information is sent to the user interface, where the farmer receives farm information using Google's mobile communication module. This image-processing method allows farmers to take preventive action to save crops. Solaiman and Salaheen [22] note that there has been an increase in interest in using satellite and RS for organic farming, with the primary goal being to establish the health status of farms. Vroege [24] proposed that RS technologies be used to compile drought risk assessment maps based on satellite soil moisture data. These cards are used to limit financial risk for farmers affected by drought.

Forecasting of yields using remote sensing methods

Crop production is perhaps the most critical information for crop management in precision agriculture. A big problem is that yield data are obtained after the season, while issues such as nutrient deficiencies, water stress, or pest infestation must be managed during the growing season. Images from satellites or UAVs obtained during the growing season have the potential for post-season and in-season management. In addition, yield maps composed of images obtained by DM can be used as an alternative when yield monitor data are unavailable [19, 25]. Because yield does not affect the reflectivity of the crop, it is derived indirectly from other biophysical parameters of the crop [25, 26]. It was ultimately found that this relationship can only be explained implicitly by biophysical and biochemical characteristics, even though it may suggest that the determination of cereal yield directly from reflection spectra is statistically feasible [26-30]. From RS data, chlorophyll content (CHL) and leaf area index (LAI) may be accurately determined and linked to yield [26, 31–33]. In addition, soil mineral nitrogen naturally has a strong effect on plant development and, thus, on chlorophyll production and leaf area, so these parameters can be considered indicators of nitrogen uptake in plants [34]. LAI is one of the most important parameters for describing plant conditions in agriculture. It can be used, for example, to obtain information on biomass, nutrient supply, growth stage, and yield assessment [35]. Many studies have been published on assessing LAI using hyperspectral data from remote sensing [26]. According to [26]. LAI and CHL forecasts from UAV-based hyperspectral data for yield forecasting are promising.

Remote sensing monitoring of plant nutrition

Efficient food production requires a balance between minimizing environmental damage and maximizing yields [36]. From the point of view of the agricultural producer, the most critical economic parameter is the achieved yields. Generous application of nitrogen fertilizers within legal limits leads to higher costs without added value in additional yield. In addition, new concepts for monitoring these effects during vegetative growth allow the development of precision farming applications specifically designed for efficient N fertilization [37]. RS will support decision-making regarding plant nutrition in organic farming by collecting and analyzing data, formulating specific management recommendations, and implementing management practices to correct factors that limit crop growth, productivity, and quality [37-41]. Sozzi [42] found that it is most cost-effective to use the vegetation index NDVI generated from satellite images with mediumresolution satellite data with good optical properties and high-resolution satellites with lower optical quality to determine the needs of H fertilization in the fields. In combination with GIS and using different vegetation indices such as NDVI, remote

sensing methods make it possible to map different fertilization rates in the field [37, 43].

Conclusions

In conclusion, it should be said that remote sensing methods can significantly support and facilitate the transition to organic farming. RS can adequately manage fertilization in organic agriculture. RS can signal the phytosanitary state promptly and assess crop stress on organic farms and in weed management. Using the capabilities of RS, organic farmers can forecast yields, which helps them to plan their costs and profits better and thus increase the sustainability of their organic farm. With the help of RS, biodiversity in agriculture can be monitored. They provide a perfect opportunity to remotely distinguish biological fields from conventional fields, which can serve state organizations and certification bodies to control organic farmers to comply with the rules and norms of organic farming.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science under the National Research Programme "Young scientists and postdoctoral students -2" approved by DCM 206/07.04.2022.

Conflicts of interest

The authors reported no potential conflicts of interest.

References

- Roslim MHM, Juraimi AS, Che'Ya NN, Sulaiman N, Manaf MNHA, Ramli Z, Motmainna M. (2021). Using Remote Sensing and an Unmanned Aerial System for Weed Management in Agricultural Crops: A Review. Agronomy. 2021; 11(9):1809. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11091809
- Perez, A., Lo'pez F., Benlloch J. V., and Christensen S. (2000). Colour and shape analysis techniques for weed detection in cereal fields. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 25: 197–212.
- 3. Hatfield, P. L. and Pinter P. (1993). Remote sensing for crop protection. Crop Protection. 12(6): 403–413. doi:10.1016/0261-2194(93)90001-y.
- 4. Brown, R.W., Steckler J. P. G. A., and Anderson G. W. (1994). Remote sensing for identification of weeds in no-till corn. Trans. ASAE. 37(1): 297–302.
- Guyer, D. E., Miles G. E., Schreiber M. M., Mitchell O. R., and Vanderbilt V. C. (1986). Machine vision and image processing for plant identification, Trans. ASAE. 29(6): 1500–1507. doi:10.13031/2013.30344
- Shearer, S. A. and Holmes R. G. (1990). Plant identification using color co-occurrence matrices. Trans. of ASAE. 33(6): 2037–2044.

- Meyer, G. E., Mehta T., Kocher M. F., Mortensen D. A., and Samal A. (1998). Textural imaging and discriminant analysis for distinguishing weeds for spot spraying. Transactions of the ASAE. 41(4): 1189–1197.
- Ahmad, U., Kondo N., Arima S., Monta M., and Mohri K. (1999). Weed detection in lawn field using machine vision: Utilization of textural features in segmented area. J. of the Japanese Society of Agricultural Machinery. 61(2): 61–69.
- Burks, T. F., Shearer S. A., Gates R. S., and Donohue K. D. (2000). Backpropagation neural network design and evaluation for classifying weed species using color image texture. Transactions of the ASAE. 43(4): 1029–1037.
- Mao, W., Wang Y., and Wang Y. (2003). Real-time Detection of Between-row Weeds Using Machine Vision. Las Vegas, NV. July 27-30, 2003. doi:10.13031/ 2013.15381.
- 11. Thompson, J. F., Stafford J. V., and Ambler B. (1990). Weed Detection in Cereal Crops. ASAE paper. 90–1629.
- Franz, E., Gebhardt M. R., and Unklesbay K. B., (1991). The use of local spectral properties of leaves as an aid for identifying weed seedlings in digital images. Trans. ASAE. 34(2): 682–687.
- Zhang, N. and Chaisattapagon C. (1995). Effective criteria for weed identification in wheat fields using machine vision. Trans. ASAE. 38(3): 965–974.
- Vrindts, E. and De Baerdemaeker J. (1997). Optical discrimination of crop, weed and soil for on-line weed detection. In: J. Stafford (Ed.). Proc. of the 1st European Conference on Precision Agriculture. Warwick, UK. Bios Scientific Publishers Ltd, Oxford, UK, 537–544.
- 15. Noble, S. D., Brown R. B., & Crowe T. G. (2002). The use of spectral properties for weed detection and identification-a review. In AIC meeting Saskatoon, Canada.
- Bendig, J., Bolten A., and Bareth G. (2013). UAV-based Imaging for Multi-Temporal, very high Resolution Crop Surface Models to monitor Crop Growth Variability. Photogrammetrie – Fernerkundung – Geoinformation. (6): 551–562. doi:10.1127/ 1432-8364/2013/0200.
- Thenkabail, P. S., Smith R. B., and Pauw E. D. (2000). Hyperspectral vegetation indices and their relationship with agricultural crop characteristics. Remote Sensing of Environment. 71(2): 152–182.
- Hansen, P. M. and Schjoerring J. K. (2003). Reflectance measurement of canopy biomass and nitrogen status in wheat crops using normalized difference vegetation indices and partial least squares regression. Rem. Sens. of Envir. 86(4): 542–553.
- 19. Usha, K. and B. Singh. (2013). Potential applications of remote sensing in horticulture -A review. Scientia Horticulturae. 153: 71–83. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2013.01.008
- 20. Jones, H. G., & Schofield P. (2008). Thermal and other remote sensing of plant stress. General and Applied Plant Physiology, 34(1-2), 19–32.
- Allen, R., Irmak A., Trezza R., Hendrickx J. M. H., Bastiaanssen W., & Kjaersgaard J. (2011). Satellite-based ET estimation in agriculture using SEBAL and METRIC. Hydrological Processes, 25(26), 4011–4027. doi:10.1002/hyp.8408
- 22. Solaiman, S., & Salaheen S. (2019). Future of Organic Farming: Bringing Technological Marvels to the Field. Safety and Practice for Organic Food, 291–303. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-812060-6.00014-3

- Sharma, S, M., Singh A., Solanki S.P., Sethuramalingam V., S., & Singh S.P. (2021). SVM-based compliance discrepancies detection using remote sensing for organic farms. Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 14, 1334. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-021-07700-4
- Vroege, W., Bucheli, J., Dalhaus, T., Hirschi, M., & Finger, R. (2021). Insuring crops from space: the potential of satellite-retrieved soil moisture to reduce farmers' drought risk exposure. European Review of Agricultural Economics, 48(2), 266–314. doi: 10.1093/erae/jbab010
- 25. Li, G., Wan S., Zhou J., Yang Z., and Qin P. (2010). Leaf chlorophyll fluorescence, hyper spectral reflectance, pigments content, malondialdehyde and proline accumulation responses of castor bean (Ricinus communis L.) seedlings to salt stress levels. Ind. Crops Prod. 31: 13–19.
- 26 Kanning, M., Kühling I., Trautz D., & Jarmer T. (2018). High-Resolution UAV-Based Hyperspectral Imagery for LAI and Chlorophyll Estimations from Wheat for Yield Prediction. Remote Sensing, 10(12), 2000. doi:10.3390/rs10122000
- Ma, B. L., Dwyer L. M., Costa C., Cober E. R., and Morrison M. J. (2001). Early prediction of soybean yield from canopy reflectance measurements, Agron. J. 93: 1227–1234.
- 28. Oøvergaard, S. I., Isaksson T., Kvaalc K., and Korsaeth A. (2010). Comparisons of two hand-held, multispectral field radiometers and a hyperspectral airborne imager in terms of predicting spring wheat grain yield and quality by means of powered partial least squares regression. J. Near Infrared Spectrosc. 18: 247–261.
- Weber, V. S., Araus J. L., Cairns J. E., Sanchez C., Melchinger A.E., and Orsini E. (2012). Prediction of grain yield using reflectance spectra of canopy and leaves in maize plants grown under different water regimes. F. Crop. Res. 128: 82–90.
- Herrmann, I., Vosberg S. K., Ravindran P., Singh A., Chang H. X., Chilvers M. I., Conley S. P., and Townsend P. A. (2018). Leaf and canopy level detection of Fusarium virguliforme (sudden death syndrome) in soybean. Rem. Sens. 10: 426.
- Gitelson, A. Remote sensing estimation of crop biophysical characteristics at various scales. In: Hyperspectral Remote Sensing of Vegetation, Thenkabail, P. S., Lyon, J. G., Huete, A., Eds.; CRC Press. USA, 2012. ISBN 978-1-4398-4537-0.
- 32. Liu, X., Zhang K., Zhang Z., Cao Q., Lv Z., Yuan Z., Tian Y., Cao W., and Zhu Y. (2017). Canopy chlorophyll density-based index for estimating nitrogen status and predicting grain yield in rice. Front. Plant Sci. 8: 1–12.
- 33. Singh, R., (2012). Crop Yield Estimation and Forecasting Using Remote Sensing, Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute: New Delhi, India.
- 34. Muñoz-Huerta, R. F., Guevara-Gonzalez R. G., Contreras-Medina L. M., Torres-Pacheco I., Prado-Olivarez J., & Ocampo-Velazquez R. V. (2013). A review of methods for sensing the nitrogen status in plants: advantages, disadvantages and recent advances. sensors, 13(8), 10823–10843. https://doi.org/10.3390/s130810823
- 35. Herrmann, I., Pimstein A., Karnieli A., Cohen Y., Alchanatis V., and Bonfil D. J. (2011). LAI assessment of wheat and potato crops by VENμS and Sentinel-2 bands. Rem. Sens. Environ. 115: 2141–2151
- Tilman, D. (1999). Global environmental impacts of agricultural expansion: The need for sustainable and efficient practices, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 96: 5995–6000.

- 37. Diacono, M., De Benedetto D., Castrignanò A., Rubino P., Vitti C., Abdelrahman H.M., Sollitto D., Cocozza C., Ventrella D., (). A combined approach of geostatistics and geographical clustering for delineating homogeneous zones in a durum wheat field in organic farming, NJAS – Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences, 2013, Vol. 64–65, 47–57, ISSN 1573–5214, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.njas.2013.03.001.
- Ferreira, S., Sanchéz J.M., Gonçalves J.M., (2021). Can Organic Farming development be driven by Remote Sensing technology?, in Proceedings of the 1st International Electronic Conference on Agronomy, 3–17 May 2021, MDPI: Basel, Switzerland, doi:10.3390/IECAG2021-10014
- Taylor, J. A., McBratney A. B., & Whelan B. M. (2007). Establishing Management Classes for Broadacre Agricultural Production. Agronomy Journal, 99(5), 1366. doi:10.2134/agronj2007.007
- Ammann, K. (2009). Why farming with high tech methods should integrate elements of organic agriculture. New Biotechnology, 25(6), 378–388. doi:10.1016/ j.nbt.2009.06.933
- 41. Salima, Y., Peira J. F. M., Horra G., Rincon de la, & Ablanque, P. V. M. (2019). Remote Sensing Data: Useful Way for the Precision Agriculture. 2019 Sixth International Conference on Internet of Things: Systems, Management and Security (IOTSMS). doi:10.1109/IOTSMS48152.2019.8939229
- Sozzi, M., Kayad, A., Gobbo, S., Cogato, A., Sartori, L., & Marinello, F. (2021). Economic comparison of satellite, plane and uav-acquired NDVI images for sitespecific nitrogen application: Observations from Italy. *Agronomy*, 11(11), 2098.
- Castrignanò, A., Giugliarini L., Risaliti R., & Martinelli N. (2000). Study of spatial relationships among some soil physico-chemical properties of a field in central Italy using multivariate geostatistics. Geoderma, 97(1–2), 39–60. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0016-7061(00)00025-2

ПРИЛОЖЕНИЕ НА СПЪТНИЦИТЕ В БИОЛОГИЧНОТО ЗЕМЕДЕЛИЕ (Част II)

М. Чанев, Л. Филчев

Резюме

Употребата на дистанционни методи за мониторинг, управление и подпомагане на вземането на решения в земеделието се засилва все повече. С развитието на технологиите те стават все по-достъпни, като същевременно се подобрява качеството и сигурността на получените данни. Стремейки се към подобряване на качеството на околната среда и нейното опазване разширяването на заетите площи с органично земеделие ще ни позволи да постигнем тези цел. Същевременно с това този тип земеделие предоставя здравословни и безопасни храни. Именно поради тази причина е от голямо значение максимално бързо да се започне с прилагане на сателитни данни при органичното земеделие. В част II на "Review of the applications of satellite remote sensing in organic farming" разглеждаме различните области на приложение на сателитните данни в органичното земеделие. Отделени са пет различни области на приложение на сателитните данни в органичното земеделие, които са: сателитен мониторинг на плевелите, дистанционно наблюдение на стреса на културите и необходимостта от напояване, прогнозиране на добивите с помощта на дистанционни методи, дистанционен мониторинг на храненето на растенията. От направения преглед установихме, че сателитните данни могат значително да подпомогнат и улеснят прехода към биологично земеделие, да се извършва адекватно торене, намира приложение при фитосанитарния мониторинг на посевите и оценка на стреса, който изпитват културите.